The APA Sustainable Communities Division supports planners who are committed to planning for **sustainable communities** by integrating all aspects of sustainability into our work through the combined **economic**, social, and ecological factors that shape our communities.

SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES DIVISION

Thanks to our 2015-2016 Sustainable Communities Division Sponsors!

- Dewberry
- Farr Associates
- ICLEI
- Lehigh Valley Planning Commission
- mySidewalk by MindMixer
- Nitsch Engineering
- VHB
- WRT
- Clarion
- Teska



Division Contact Information

- Website: planning.org/divisions/sustainable
- Blog (sign up for e-bulletin): www.sustainableplanning.net
- LinkedIn: APA Sustainable Communities Division
- Facebook/Twitter: APASCD
- Scott Turner, Division Chair: APASCD@gmail.com

SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES DIVISION

Today: Smart Growth and Resilience in Coastal Communities

- Susan Fox the Baldwin Group at NOAA
- Gavin Smith UNC Center for the Study of Natural Hazards and Disasters & U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Coastal Hazards Center of Excellence
- Wendy Goodfriend San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES DIVISION

Smart Growth and Resilience in Coastal Communities

Susan Fox (The Baldwin Group at NOAA OCM)

APA Sustainable Communities Division Webinar

May 20



Agenda

- Introduction
- Agenda and Overview of Smart Growth and Resilience
- Hazard Mitigation
- Kinston, NC case study
- San Francisco, CA case study
- Discussion







Photo credit: Todd Marsee, MI Sea Grant





10 Coastal & Waterfront Smart Growth Elements



Element 4:

Create walkable communities with visual and physical access to and along the waterfront for public use











What Does Hazard Resilient Smart Growth Look Like and How Do You Implement It?

Achieving Hazard-Resilient

Coastal and Waterfront Smart Growth and Hazard Mitigation Roundtable Report



How communities can achieve smart growth goals while minimizing risks from natural hazards.





Flooding



- Episodic
 - Storm surge
 - Tsunamis
 - Inland floods
 - Shallow coastal flooding

- Long-term
 - Sea level rise







OFFICE FOR COASTAL MANAGEMENT

EPA Resilience Checklist

	es Environmental Protection Ag	jency	Español 中文:	:繁體版 中文:简体版 Tiếng Việt 한국어			
Learn the Issues S	cience & Technology	Laws & Regulations	About EPA	Search EPA.gov			
Smart Growth				Contact Us Share			
Smart Growth Home About Smart Growth	Planni	<u> </u>	d Recovery	v and Long–Term			
Awards	Resine	ence in Veri	mont				
Examples of Smart Growt	h Smart Growt	th Approaches for Disa	aster-Resilient Comm	unities			
Grants and Funding Partnerships		storms affects many cor ing billions of dollars of d			SILIENCE CHECKLIST		
Publications Technical Assistance	change project frequent and	tions suggest that storm stronger in many regions t of these trends, many o	s will likely become more of the country in the				
Tools Topics	to improve dis planning. "Flo	saster recovery and long- od resilience" means me	term flood resilience asures taken to reduce	 Does the community's comprehensiv flood planning section? 	ve plan have a hazard element or	Yes	🗌 No
Webinars, Videos, and Podcasts Smart Growth in Your	recovery after	vulnerability to flooding a a flood.	and support long-term	a. Does the comprehensive plan cro Mitigation Plan and any disaster		Yes	🗌 No
Community	Tropical Storn communities,	throughout Vermont face n Irene hit in 2011, deva and lives. In 2012, in the	stating infrastructure, e wake of Irene, the state	 Does the comprehensive plan ide areas, including river corridor and if applicable? 		Yes	□ No
		quested <u>Smart Growth Ir</u> m EPA and the Federal E		c. Did the local government emergency response personnel, flood plain manager, and department of public works participate in developing/updating the comprehensive plan?		Yes	🗌 No
www2.epa.gov/smart-growth/planning- flood-recovery-and-long-term-resilience-			2. Does the community have a local Hazard Mitigation Plan approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the state emergency management agency?		Yes	No	
vermont				a. Does the Hazard Mitigation Plan comprehensive plan?	cross-reference the local	Yes	🗌 No
				 Was the local government planner in developing/updating the Haza 	-	Yes	No

Planning Resources for Resilience NOAA's Digital Coast

• Communicating Exposure to Hazards

Coastal County Snapshots

CanVis Visualization Software

• Assessing Hazard Impacts

Sea Level Rise Viewer

• Using Green Infrastructure to Adapt to Impacts

Green Infrastructure for Coastal Resilience Training



Understanding What Is Exposed to Flooding



Data

Coastal County Snapshots



Coastal County Snapshots turn complex data into easy-to-understand stories, select a coastal county of interest and the website does the rest, providing infr more resilient to coastal hazards.

Local officials can use the snapshots as a planning tool to assess their count benefits provided by natural resources. The handouts generated by the snat working with governing bodies and citizen groups.

Features

- Assesses a county's exposure and resilience to flooding
- · Analyzes a county's dependence on the ocean or Great Lakes for a healthy economy
- · Examines the benefits a county receives from its wetlands
- · Compares counties to each other or for regional analysis
- Allows users to download a PDF report for the snapshot of their choice

Current topics include:

- Flood exposure
- Wetland benefits
- Ocean and Great Lakes jobs

coast.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/tools/snapshots

Flood Exposure, Wetland Benefits, and Ocean Jobs



County Wetland Benefits Snapshot Pamlico County, NC

Wetland Benefits Snapshot COUNTY SNAPSHOTS Pamlico County, North Carolina

Protecting Wetlands = Coastal Communities That Are Safer, Cleaner, and More Economically Productive

Healthy wetlands provide more than just a pretty view. Wetlands are a pivotal part of the natural system, supplying tremendous benefits for coastal communities. Even small acreages can provide some level of benefit. The location, health, and size of individual wetlands also play a role. This snapshot demonstrates three key benefits of wetlands in Pamilico County.

Based on 2006 NOAA land cover.

More Economically Productive: Wetlands Support Fishing Economies

Coastal wetlands provide habitat for many aquatic species that contribute to local food supplies and fishing-related industries.

In addition to providing a base for commercial fishing jobs and revenue, welfands also support recreational and charter fishing. These economic benefits extend beyond county boundaries.

Based on 2011 ENOW and 2011 ENOW for Self-Employed Workers.

Safer: Wetlands Reduce Flood Impacts

41% (89,679 acres) of Pamlico County's land area is in the floodplain.

Wetlands located in coastal and riverine floodplains can protect people and their property, community infrastructure, and agricultural investments from floods. Wetlands act as natural sponges, holding floodwaters and lowering flood heights.

Based on Best available as of 2010 FEMA Flood Zones (100-year); 2006 NOAA land cover.

Date Printed: July, 2014



Pamlico County's Floodplain

3.8%

13%







NOAA Coastal Services Center

Visualizing Flooding



coast.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/tools/canvis

CanVis Tool



Charleston Customs House - 1.5m SLR - After

Seattle Boardwalk - Sea Level Rise - After

Visualizing and Communicating Alternatives

coast.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/tools/canvis

Planning Resources for Resilience NOAA's Digital Coast

• Communicating Exposure to Hazards

Coastal County Snapshots CanVis Visualization Software

• Assessing Hazard Impacts

Sea Level Rise Viewer

• Using Green Infrastructure to Adapt to Impacts

Green Infrastructure for Coastal Resilience Training



Visualizing Sea Level Rise and Inundation



Sea Level Rise Viewer



Select a geography and use the slider bar to simulate various sea level rise scenarios (from one to six feet above the average highest tides) and the corresponding areas that would be impacted by flooding. Click the camera icons for pictures that depict how local landmarks could be affected. Additional tabs provide information about marsh impacts, nuisance flood frequency, and social and economic data.

Maps are not currently available for Alaska and Louisiana due to the accuracy of existing elevation data, the hydraulic complexity of the coast, and gaps in vertical datum transformation.

Features

- · Models potential marsh migration due to sea level rise
- · Examines how tidal flooding will become more frequent with sea level rise
- Enables access through mobile devices
- Produces shortened URLs for easy map sharing through email and social media
- Provides access to Web map services and underlying geospatial data
- Offers supporting documents and information on sea level rise mapping

Acknowledgments

The NOAA Office for Coastal Management acknowledges the many organizations that helped guide the development of this tool.

coast.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/tools/slr/

Videos	
Tool Overview	
First Time Tips	
Digital Coast Webinar	
Series	
Series Mapping and Visualizing Sea	
Series	
Series Mapping and Visualizing Sea level Rise and Coastal Flooding	
Series Mapping and Visualizing Sea level Rise and Coastal Flooding Impacts	

- Coastal Lidar
- Social Vulnerability Index (SOVI)

Related Training

- Climate Adaptation for Coastal Communities
- Coastal Inundation Mapping

Visualizing Sea Level Rise and Inundation



Planning Resources for Resilience NOAA's Digital Coast

• Communicating Exposure to Hazards

Coastal County Snapshots CanVis Visualization Software

• Assessing Hazard Impacts

Sea Level Rise Viewer

• Using Green Infrastructure to Adapt to Impacts

Green Infrastructure for Coastal Resilience Training



Green Infrastructure for Coastal Resilience Training

Understand ecological, economic, and societal benefits

Understand the role in making coastal communities more resilient to natural hazards.

Identify new or existing planning processes for integrating green infrastructure techniques

Identify local green infrastructure applications

www.csc.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/training/green



Digital Coast



What is the Digital Coast?

This NOAA-sponsored website is focused on helping communities address coastal issues and has become one of the most-used resources in the coastal management community. The dynamic Digital Coast Partnership, whose members represent the website's primary user groups, keeps the effort focused on customer needs.

Learn more in our About section, or just dive in. And please provide feedback as often as possible. Hearing from you is what makes the Digital Coast work.

Learn More about the Digital Coast

About Contributing Partners 🔊 Watch the Video



coast.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/

Partnerships Keep It Real



- American Planning Association
- Association of State Floodplain Managers
- Coastal States Organization
- National Association of Counties
- National Estuarine Research Reserve Association
- National States Geographic Information Council
- The Nature Conservancy
- Urban Land Institute
- NOAA



For Additional Questions

Susan Fox susan.fox@noaa.gov

Dr. Gavin Smith gavin_smith@unc.edu

Wendy Goodfriend wendy.goodfriend@bcdc.ca.gov

Scott Turner, Division Chair APASCD@gmail.com



coastal & waterfront

GETTING STARTED

SMARTGROWTH

ELEMENTS

Mix land uses

Take advantage of compact design

Provide a range of housing choices

Create walkable communities

Foster distinctive, attractive communities

Preserve open space & critical environmental areas

Direct development toward existing communities

Provide a variety of transportation options

Make development decisions predictable & fair

Encourage community & stakeholder collaboration



RESOURCES



NOAA and EPA's report on "<u>Achieving Hazard-Resilient Coastal & Waterfront Smart</u> <u>Growth</u>" presents ideas shared by smart growth and hazard mitigation experts related to building hazard-resilient coastal communities.

DEVELOPMENT at the WATER'S EDGE

Coastal and waterfront communities have a distinctive COASTAL and WATERFRONT CHALLENGES and OPPORTUNITIES

SMART GROWTH APPROACHES

How can smart growth strategies help coastal and waterfront

CASE STUDIES

coastalsmartgrowth.noaa.gov/

Stronger Housing, Safer Communities: Strategies for Seismic and Flood Risks

Wendy Goodfriend

San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission's Adapting to Rising Tides Program

Dana Brechwald

Association of Bay Area Governments' Resilience Program



Why focus on housing and communities?

- Recovery after a major hazard event depends on whether people are able to stay in their homes
- To improve recovery we need to better understand which housing and communities are most at risk
- Knowing these risks informs the selection of resilience and recovery strategies and helps make the case for taking action







Collaborative by design:



Project Leads ABAG's Resilience Program BCDC's ART Program

Advisory Committee Experts in hazards, housing, and community vulnerability Bay Area Stakeholders Local agency staff, decision makers, non-profits, academics, interested community members



Strategy Consultant AECOM

Funders USGS, US EPA FEMA, CA SGC



Housing and Community Vulnerability

Conducted a regional assessment to better understand the vulnerability of housing and communities and identify potential consequences on existing communities and in areas projected to grow





Three hazards

- Ground Shaking >= MMI XIII
- Liquefaction, moderate to high

 Current 100-year flood zone and future flooding with sea level rise (24, 36, 48 inches)



Fragile Housing

Structural housing types that are likely to be damaged if exposed to ground shaking, liquefaction, or flooding



Eight housing types

- Single family cripple wall
- Single family house over garage
- Unreinforced masonry
- Multi-family cripple wall
- Multi-family weak story or open front
- Multi-family non-ductile concrete
- Insufficient foundation for liquefaction
- All housing types



Communities at Risk Individuals, households and neighborhoods with characteristics that make them less able to prepare, respond or recover





Ten community characteristics

- Age
- Education
- Household income
- Race/culture
- Non-English speakers
- Home ownership
- Housing cost burden
- Transit dependence
- Transportation cost burden



The assessment was conducted at two scales: regional and community





Findings of the assessment:

- Housing is generally built to life safety rather than shelter-in-place standards
- Most foundations cannot withstand liquefaction
- Most Bay Area houses cannot withstand any amount of flooding






Findings of the assessment:

- Housing affordability is an existing challenge that will make recovery more difficult
- Renters have a limited ability to improve the resilience of the housing they live in
- Many community members have limited or inadequate information about hazards









Housing and Community Strategies

A suite of 40 risk reduction strategies to help the region meet resilience, sustainability, prosperity, and equity goals

Address existing housing and communities, and new or redeveloped housing in future growth areas







Types of strategies:

- State-led strategies, such as improved mapping, guidelines and education
- Region-led strategies to address regional and cross-jurisdictional issues
- Locally-led strategies to:
 - Reduce development in high hazard areas
 - Retrofit fragile housing
 - Improve standards for new construction
 - Manage flood hazards at different scales
 - Prepare for post-disaster recovery
 - Coordinate with non-profit and community organizations



Stronger Housing, Safer Communities project webpage

- Hazards
- Housing Vulnerability
- Community Vulnerability
- Community Profiles
- Strategies
- Financing Mechanisms
- Summary report
- Technical report
- Strategies manual

ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS RESILIENCE PROGRAM

Hazards

Topics

Publications

About

Quick Links Hazards

Housing Vulnerability

Community Profiles

Conclusion

Financing Mechanisms

[download the summary report] [download the technical report] [download the strategies manual]

Community Vulnerability

Housing and Community Risk Map

Strategies for Seismic and Flood Risks



Projects

Introduction

In the Bay Area, retaining housing is crucial to expediting and ensuring an effective disaster recovery. Limiting catastrophic housing damage and keeping residents in their homes not only helps people who may lack the resources to effectively recover from a disaster, but keeps communities intact. Understanding where the most vulnerable housing types are located, especially those that house vulnerable community members, is a crucial first step to gain a more comprehensive understanding of multi-level risk within the region and to better understand where mitigation needs to be prioritized.

resilience.abag.ca.gov/projects stronger_housing_safer_ communities_2015/



Strategies Manual

STRONGER HOUSING, SAFER COMMUNITIES STRATEGIES FOR SEISMIC & FLOOD RISKS

A MANUAL FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS March 2015

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: HOW THIS MANUAL CAN BENEFIT YOUR COMMUNITY9

The reason for this manual9	
Who should use this manual9	
What you can learn from this manual9	

CHAPTER 2: AN INTRODUCTION TO HOUSING AND COMMUNITY

VULNERABILIT	ТҮ	11
Hazards		11
Housing Vu	Inerability	12
Community	v Vulnerability	15

Choosing which strategies to use	.23
How to read each strategy	.25
Choosing the right financing mechanisms	.29



Strategies Manual

CHAPTER 3

HOW TO USE THE STRATEGIES

Choosing which strategies to use

Strategies are designed to be responsive to the vulnerability types identified and analyzed, choosing which strategies to use is most easily approached through the following steps, using table 3-1:

- Identify which vulnerability you want to address - natural hazards, housing vulnerability, or community vulnerability.
- Identify the key finding that most closely matches your risk
- Choose which specific indicator you'd like to address
- Identify your "short list" of strategies that meet your specific vulnerability concerns

Note: Many strategies address multiple vulnerabilities and will appear more than once in the strategy selection table.

Once an initial strategy list is identified, users can view the overview and summary table for each strategy in Chapter 4.

To most efficiently reduce vulnerability:

Strategies to address hazard risks should be considered first

Understanding hazards and avoiding high hazard areas is fundamental to resilience.

Strategies to address housing vulnerability should be considered next

Keeping housing intact immediate reduces the vulnerability of its residents, even if other community vulnerability indicators are present.

Strategies to address community vulnerability should be considered last

The following tables reflect this order of priority.

HOW TO USE THE STRATEGIES

21

Users may also look at the strategy list at the beginning of Chapter 4 to identify applicable strategies. The summary table is organized by scale and grouped into similar strategy types to provide a snapshot of how the strategies fit together in relationship to one another.

HAZARDS

Key consideration to address	Indicator to address	Strategies to consider					
		Strategy 1: Complete seismic hazard mapping of urban and urbanizing areas					
	Ground shaking (MMI XIII or above)	Strategy 2: Evaluate current guidelines and the "state of practice" for mapping, evaluating and mitigating seismic hazards, particularly multi-hazard areas					
		Strategy 11: Develop locally-specific seismic hazard maps					
		Also see strategies for "Any hazard" below					
		Strategy 1: Complete seismic hazard mapping of urban and urbanizing areas					
	Moderate to high liquefaction	Strategy 2: Evaluate current guidelines and the "state of practice" for mapping, evaluating and mitigating seismic hazards, particularly multi-hazard areas					
		Strategy 11: Develop locally-specific seismic hazard maps					
		Also see strategies for "Any hazard" below					
Hazards will	c	Strategy 5: Establish a cooperative shoreline management program					
have significant impacts on	Current and future flooding	Also see strategies for "Any hazard" below Strategy 5: Establish a cooperative shoreline management					
communities		Also see strategies for "Any hazard" below					
that live in high hazard areas							
		Strategy 10: Host a regional "Smart and Safe" growth design competition					
		Strategy 12: Increase protection of critical facilities and lifelines in high hazard areas					
		 practice" for mapping, evaluating and mitigating seismic hazards, particularly multi-hazard areas Strategy 11: Develop locally-specific seismic hazard maps Also see strategies for "Any hazard" below Strategy 1: Complete seismic hazard mapping of urban and urbanizing areas Strategy 2: Evaluate current guidelines and the "state of practice" for mapping, evaluating and mitigating seismic hazards, particularly multi-hazard areas Strategy 11: Develop locally-specific seismic hazard maps Also see strategies for "Any hazard" below Strategy 12: Evaluate current guidelines and the "state of practice" for mapping, evaluating and mitigating seismic hazards, particularly multi-hazard areas Strategy 11: Develop locally-specific seismic hazard maps Also see strategies for "Any hazard" below Strategy 31: Incorporate sea level rise guidance within the capital planning process Also see strategies for "Any hazard" below Strategy 6: Develop guidelines for the siting and design of transit-oriented development to reduce seismic and flood ri Strategy 10: Host a regional "Smart and Safe" growth design competition Strategy 12: Increase protection of critical facilities and lifelines in high hazard areas Strategy 13: Reduce or prohibit development in the most hazardous areas while ensuring equity and beneficial use of these areas Strategy 14: Establish overlay zoning districts to help facilities afe and smart new development Strategy 15: Establish a Transfer of Development Rights program to redirect development from high hazard areas to preferred, low hazard areas 					
	Any hazard	Strategy 14: Establish overlay zoning districts to help facilitate					
		program to redirect development from high hazard areas to					
		Strategy 34: Create a pre-disaster rebuild and recovery plan					
		allow temporary land uses to facilitate and expedite post-					
	:						

Table 3-1: Strategy selection table



Strategies Manual

STRATEGY SNAPSHOT

State-Led Strategies

Page

Through its authority under the State Seismic Hazard Mapping Act, encourage the California Geological Survey (CGS) to work with regional and local agencies and the geology/geotechnical community in the Bay Area to evaluate current guidelines, as well as the current state of practice, for mapping, evaluating and mitigating seismic hazards, particularly in areas of expected growth that are also vulnerable to tsunami, flooding and permanent inundation.

This strategy recommends creating targeted education programs that encourage homeowners and renters to better understand their risk and make more informed decisions about the purchase of earthquake insurance. This includes education about retrofitting versus insurance, understanding the site-specific hazards of their building, helping them understand what the costs versus benefits are of purchasing insurance, and what is and is not covered by hazard insurance policies.

Increase the number of skilled contractors, contractor knowledge, consistency in retrofit quality, and owner assurance and trust in non-engineered retrofits by developing a regional or statewide program to train and license or certify contractors in non-engineered seismic retrofits. State Region Local

1. Complete seismic hazard mapping of urban and urbanizing areas

Encourage the California Geological Survey (CGS) to complete mapping of seismic hazard zones for the portions of the Bay Area that are not currently mapped or in the process of being mapped with priority given to urban and urbanizing areas.

Lead								Scale of Benefit					
State Region		Local			Region		Community Res		esident				
				jur	risdict	ion							
Target D	evelo	pmen	t Type		Haz	ard A	ddres	sed					
Exist	ing		New		Ground Shaking Liquefaction						Flo	Flooding	
Commun	nity V	ulnera	bility Add	resse	d			Vulnerab	le Housing	Type /	۱ddr	essed	
Age		guage & nicity	Cost Burdened				urces Single Family		Multi- family			Soft story or House over garage	
Action Ca	atego	ries											
Evaluation Program/ Operation				Plans and Policies		Codes, Regulations, and Ordinances		Coordination			lucation/ utreach		
Prerequi	site S	trateg	gies				Other Related Strategies						
None							Strategy 2: Evaluate current guidelines and the "state of practice" for mapping, evaluating and mitigating seismic hazards, particularly multi-hazard areas						

Description

The 1990 Seismic Hazard Mapping Act requires the State Geologist and CGS to prepare maps of seismic hazard zones, identifying the areas that are susceptible to strong ground shaking, earthquake-induced landslides, liquefaction, or other ground failures. The Act also requires that the areas susceptible to tsunami and seiches be included in these maps when appropriate hazard information and funding are available to complete this work. In addition, the 1972 Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act requires CGS to establish earthquake fault zones around the surface traces of active faults and issue appropriate maps of these fault zones.

Proposed development or major renovations of existing development in mapped hazard zones are required to perform site specific geotechnical investigations prior to receiving construction permits or approval of subdivisions. When hazards are identified in these reports, the proposed design must take measures to mitigate their effects. Cities and counties are also required



Online strategy selection tool

Strategies for Seismic and Flood Risks

Once vulnerabilities were identified, the next step was to consider how these vulnerabilities could be reduced. A suite of implementation strategies were developed to help local jurisdictions reduce the vulnerability of housing and populations in the areas identified through the analysis, and to plan for future growth in a way that minimizes new vulnerability

To view these strategies and decide which strategies are most useful for your jurisdiction using our interactive strategy selection tool, click here

Stronger Housing, Safer Communities Strategies

Once your community is familiar with your own unique vulnerabilities, you can easily identify strategies that address these vulnerabilities. Strategies are designed to be responsive to the vulnerability types identified and analyzed, choosing which strategies to use is most easily approached through the following steps:

- First, identify who the lead should be: the state, the region, or the local jurisdiction.
- Then, identify the vulnerability type you'd like to address: community vulnerability, fragile housing, or hazards.
- Last, choose which hazard you'd like to address: ground shaking, liquefaction, flooding, or any hazard.

The result will be a tailored list of strategies that address the specific criteria you've identified within your community. You can download the PDFs and use these strategies to guide Hazard Mitigation Planning, General Planning, and policy and program decisions.

Note: Many strategies address multiple vulnerabilities and will appear more than once in the strategy selection table.



Housing Strategies Results

Local: Community Vulverability: Any

- 19. Require hazard disclosure for renters (PDF)
- 36. Develop and implement a shelter-in-place program (PDF)

37. Improve the resilience of rental units and ensure they are re-built after loss or damage due to a natural disaster (PDF)

- 38. Protect housing affordability during recovery (PDF)
- 39. Create a community capacity inventory (PDF)
- 40. Disseminate best available hazard and climate risk information through community-based organizations and non-traditional partners (PDF)

Back



37. Improve the resilience of rental units and ensure they are re-built after loss or damage due to a natural disaster

Adopt new policies, and strengthen existing policies, to improve the resilience of available rental units, and develop policies to ensure that rental units damaged during a natural disaster are replaced in kind (with a similar number/type) during rebuilding and recovery rather than being converted to owner-occupied properties.

Lead					Scale of Benefit					
State Region Local jurisdiction						Region Community Reside				
Target De	velopment T	уре		Hazard A	dress	ed				
Exist	ing	New		Ground	Shaking Liquefaction Flooding				Flooding	
Communi	ty Vulnerabi	lity Address	sed			Vulnerab	le Housing Ty	/pe Addr	essed	
Age	Language & Ethnicity	Hous Tent	using Access to nure Resource		Single or Two Family	Multi- family	Cripple Wall	Soft story or House over garage		
Action Ca	tegorles									
Evaluation Program/ Operation			Plans a	and Policies	Codes, Regulations, and Coordination Outreach Ordinances					
Prerequis	ite Strategle	s			Other Related Strategies					
None					Strategy 16: Create a fragile housing inventory					
					Strategy 17: Develop and implement a soft story retrofit					
						program				
Strategy 18: Develop and implement a cripple wall re- program							ple wall retrofit			

Description

This strategy recommends jurisdictions adopt policies to improve the resilience of available rental units and require that rental housing that has been damaged or destroyed by a natural disaster is replaced in kind. During post-disaster rebuilding efforts, rental housing that is significantly damaged is often demolished and rebuilt as for-sale or owner-occupied properties (e.g., condominiums), reducing the number of rental units available. This is largely because building owners find owner-occupied properties more profitable than rentals, and allows them to make a profit without continued upkeep or investment in the building. However, not only does this displace renters from their existing communities, but also drives up rents in the remaining rental units, making it more difficult for medium, low, or very low income renters to return to their communities (see Strategy #38, Protect housing affordability during recovery). Wholesale loss of rental units can significantly alter the cultural, racial, income, and age diversity of a community. This strategy takes a two-pronged approach: avoid the loss of rental units by mitigating the potential damage of rental units through retrofitting, and requiring that rental housing that is damaged and demolished due to



Stronger Housing, Safer Communities: Strategies for Seismic and Flood Risks

resilience.abag.ca.gov/projects stronger_housing_safer_communities_2015/



Wendy Goodfriend wendy.goodfriend@bcdc.ca.gov www.adaptingtorisingtides.org

> Dana Brechwald danab@abag.ca.gov resilience.abag.ca.gov



Creating Sustainable and Disaster Resilient Communities



NOAA and the American Planning Association - Sustainable Communities Division

Gavin Smith, Ph.D., AICP Research Professor University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Department of City & Regional Planning

Executive Director Department of Homeland Security Coastal Hazards Center of Excellence

Director

Department of Homeland Security Coastal Resilience Center of Excellence

http://coastalhazardscenter.org



A U.S. Department of Homeland Security Center of Excellence

Presentation Overview

- Hazard Mitigation and Disaster Recovery Context
- Disaster Resilience

Research Lead: The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

- Barriers and Opportunities
- Role and Importance of Planning
 - Kinston, North Carolina Case Study
 - Linking Sustainability, Smart Growth, and Disaster Resilience
- Natural Hazards Risk Management and Climate Change Adaptation



A Review of the United States Disaster Assistance Framework



FNTER

Disaster Recovery and Hazard Mitigation Context

- Disasters Uncover/Expose Pre-Event Conditions
 - Hazard vulnerability, including socially vulnerable populations
 - Planning culture (varied application to risk reduction and disaster recovery efforts; planner/emergency manager divide)
 - Unsustainable development
- Disaster as "Opportunity"
 - Incorporation of sustainable development principles (resilience)
 - Incorporation of hazard mitigation/risk reduction
 - Equity/social justice
 - Opportunity for whom?
- Importance of Planning

Research Lead: The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

- Temporal Dimension: Speed versus deliberation, pre- and post-disaster recovery planning; land use and hazard mitigation
- Governance, resource distribution
- Maximize use of Existing and Emerging Policies, Programs and Plans
 - Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000
 - National Disaster Recovery Framework
 - National, state or local adaptation policy? (Glavovic and Smith 2014)
 - Local advocacy

Disaster Resilience



- "<u>Designed in advance to anticipate</u>, weather and recover from the impacts of natural or terrorist hazards"
- "...built on principles derived from past experience with disasters"
- Comprised of "<u>networked social communities and lifeline systems</u>"
- "...adapting and learning from disasters"
- "...<u>strong and flexible</u> (rather than brittle and fragile)"
- "...new <u>development is guided away from known high hazard areas</u> and their <u>vulnerable existing development is relocated to safe areas</u>"
- "...<u>buildings are constructed or retrofitted to meet code standards</u> <u>based on hazard threats</u>"
- "...<u>natural environmental protective systems are conserved</u> to maintain valuable hazard mitigation functions"
- "...governmental, non-governmental, and private sector organizations are prepared with up-to-date <u>information</u> about hazard vulnerability and disaster resources, as linked with <u>effective communication</u> <u>networks</u>, and are experienced in working together (Godschalk 2003, pp. 136-137).

Barriers to Resilience (Tim Beatley, 2006)

- Low importance given to hazards vulnerability
- Limited ability or willingness to confront big issues looming in the future
- Limited resources and competing priorities
- Limited and weak planning systems
- Short decision-making time frames
- Concerns about protecting property rights
- Perceptions of upfront costs associated with resiliency measures

Ideas for Overcoming Barriers to Resilience (Tim Beatley 2006)

- Integrate hazard reduction and resilience into community projects with strong community support
- Insert references to future vulnerability into current policy and planning
- Find creative ways to make resilience visible and tangible
- Build and support local constituents for resilience
- Employ existing land use tools and community planning techniques

 Hurricane Floyd at 4:40 AM EDT, about 2 hours after making landfall near Cape Fear NC. Wind gusts to 135 knots were measured at the shore. Floyd is

 expected to continue on a northeasterly course and maitain minimal hurricane strength as it crosses the Delmarva Peninsula.

 CREDIT: NOAA

NESDIS/OSEL NOAA-14 AVHRR HRPT RGB=CH3, CH4, CH5 09/16/99 0840 UTC DE MD VA 4 × NC HURRICANE IRENE Oct 16-17 HURRICANE FLOYD HURRICANE DENNIS Sept 15 - 16 Ang 30 - Sept 4

Hurricane Floyd North Carolina Recovery Programs



- 836 Million Dollars (22 state programs – State Rainy Day Fund)
 - Floodplain Mapping Program
 - State Acquisition and Relocation Fund
 - Acquisition of hog farms and junkyards
 - State match to federal grant programs
- No State Recovery Plan



A U.S. Department of Homeland Security Center of Excellence

Acquisition and Elevation of Flood-Prone Housing and Infrastructure

- Hurricane Fran and Floyd
 - 6,000 homes acquired
 - 800 homes elevated
- State Acquisition and Relocation Fund
- Infrastructure Relocation
 - Wastewater Treatment Plants
 - Schools

Research Lead: The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

- Critical Public Facilities
- Land Use Integration Varied



COASTAL HAZARDS CENTER

The Complexity of Hazard Mitigation Choices

Research Lead: The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill



A U.S. Department of Homeland Security Center of Excellence

COASTAL HAZARDS Kinston, North Carolina: The Value of Pre-Event Planning and the Speed and Quality of Disaster Recovery

- Grants Management (Hurricane Fran and Floyd)
 - Acquisition/Relocation Strategy
- Mitigation and Sustainable Recovery Planning (social, economic, and environmental themes)
- Planning by Objectives
 - Clear the Floodplain





Buyouts in Kinston, North Carolina

- Hurricane Fran (1996): 362 Homes
 - Funding Sources
 - HMGP

Research Lead: The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

- HUD Disaster Recovery Initiative
- State Acquisition and Relocation Fund
- 1 year to develop application
- Floyd (1999): 795 Homes
 - Pre-disaster project ready to submit
 - Application approved 1 week after Hurricane Floyd



Hazard Mitigation and Sustainable Recovery in Kinston, North Carolina

- Disasters as a Window of Opportunity
- Kinston Pre Fran (fact base)
 - Dilapidated Housing Stock/Economically Distressed
 - Lack of Affordable Housing
 - Shortage of Skilled Labor
- Post Fran Kinston
 - Develop Recovery Alternatives/Multi-Objective Planning
 - Maximize Federal and State Grant Money
 - Use of Local Resources
 - Application of Codes, Standards, and Land Use Techniques
 - Comprehensive Relocation Effort (housing and infrastructure policies; projects)

Hazard Mitigation and Sustainable Recovery

- Housing and Employment Leading People to Success (HELPS)
 - Lenoir Co. Community College
 - Housing Construction,
 Deconstruction and Rehabilitation
 - Welfare to Work
- Call Kinston Home

Research Lead: The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

- Community Revitalization
- Desirable Place to Live
- Revitalize Established Neighborhoods
- New Affordable Housing
- Moving Disaster Victims From the Floodplain to New Homes in the City



Hazard Mitigation and Sustainable Recovery

- Planning Implementation Tools (policies)
 - Floodplain Engineering Study (improved delineation of floodplain/fact base)
 - Interim Prohibition of New Construction in Floodplain
 - No Rebuilding of Substantially Damaged Structures in Floodplain
 - Increased Elevation Standards
 - Development of Floodplain Conservation Easements
- Kinston's Smart Growth Plan (vision)
 - Adopted October 1999 1 month after Floyd
 - Linked to Disaster Recovery Funding (implementation)
 - In-fill Development
 - Reuse of Existing Structures
 - Housing
 - Schools







Summary: Hazard Mitigation and Sustainable Disaster Recovery Outcomes

- Relocating an estimated 1,750 residents from special flood hazard areas to higher ground
- Replacing lost tax base via infill development
- Addressing loss of potential tax base by reinvestments elsewhere within the city
- Creating open space and enhancing recreational opportunities

Research Lead: The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

- Removing sewer lines & facilities from floodplain (Waste water treatment plant relocation)
- Improving water quality in Neuse River





Applying Lessons from Natural Hazards Planning (hazard mitigation and disaster recovery) to Climate Change Adaptation

- Improved Use of Existing Planning Tools and Processes
 - Pre-Event Planning (hazard mitigation and disaster recovery)
 - Land Use Planning Tools / Spatial Orientation
 - Scenario-Based Planning / Temporal Dimension
 - Incorporating Land Use Techniques (tools and process) Among the Most Effective / Underutilized in Hazard Mitigation and Recovery
- Planners as Coalition Builders and Boundary Spanners
 - Poor Coordination between Planners and Emergency Managers / Design Professionals

Research Lead: The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

- Integrating Risk Reduction, Sustainable Development, Resilience and Adaptation
- Planning Process Disasters as Conflict/Altruism
 - Dispute Resolution, Policy Dialogue, Negotiation, Facilitation





Environmental Hazards

Adapting to Climate Change

Lessons from Natural Hazards Planning

🖄 Springer