THE LIVABILITY INDEX: GREAT NEIGHBORHOODS FOR ALL AGES



Jana Lynott, Senior Strategic Policy Advisor AARP Public Policy Institute @AARPpolicy, #LivIndex www.aarp.org/livabilityindex

WHAT IS A LIVABLE COMMUNITY?



"A livable community is one that is safe and secure, has affordable and appropriate housing and transportation options, and offers supportive community features and services. Once in place, those resources enhance personal independence; allow residents to age in place; and foster residents' engagement in the community's civic, economic, and social life."

-AARP Public Policy Institute

WHY DID AARP CREATE THE LIVABILITY INDEX?



How can the Index be used?

 Catalyze community conversations for lasting change





4



Safe Streets Accessible System Design Commitment to Livability

Neighborhood Quality Commitment to Livability

Compact Neighborhoods

Personal Safety

WHAT IS THE LIVABILITY INDEX: GREAT NEIGHBORHOODS FOR ALL AGES

AARP The Magazine AARP Bulletin In Your State AARP Foundation Discounts More - 👔 🔄 📓 Rewards for Good Register Log In 🤹
Real Possibilities Public Policy Institute Inquiry. Analysis. Solutions.
PUBLICATIONS ISSUES INITIATIVES EXPERTS EVENTS DATA ABOUT PPI MORE FROM AARP
AARP Home » AARP Public Policy Ins »
Livability Index Great Neighborhoods for All Ages
LIVABILITY DEFINED FEATURED COMMUNITIES ABOUT US
quality of health care access to destinations
How livable is your community?
enter your address, city, state or zip code
air quality water quality water quality
LEARN MORE
The Livability Index scores cities and communities across the U.S.
for the services and amenities that impact your life the most.
Search for your city or learn more about how we define livability.





Best Cities for Staying Healthy:

San Francisco, CA Arlington, VA Novato, CA



Easiest Cities to Get Around:

San Francisco, CA Buffalo, NY Hoboken, NJ



Best Cities for Making New Friends

Washington, DC Sioux Falls, SD Rapid City, SD



Best Cities for Date Night Nashville, TN Richmond, VA

Brentwood, TN



Most Livable Neighborhoods



- 1. Mifflin West, Madison, Wis.
- 2. Upper West Side, Manhattan
- 3. Downtown Crossing, Boston
- 4. South of Market, San Francisco
- 5. Washburn, La Crosse, Wis.
- 6. Downtown, Sioux Falls, S.D.
- 7. Southside, Virginia, Minn.
- 8. Downtown, Bismarck, N.D.
- 9. Downtown, Seattle
- **10.** Downtown, Los Alamos, N.M.

www.aarp.org/mostlivable2015

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Jana Lynott, Senior Strategic Policy Advisor <u>jlynott@aarp.org</u>, **y** @JanaLynott

AARP Public Policy Institute www.aarp.org/livabilityindex @AARPpolicy, #LivIndex

AARP Livable Communities Resources <u>www.aarp.org/livablepolicy</u> <u>www.aarp.org/livable</u>

AARP Great Places Blogs by PPI Livable Communities Team http://www.aarp.org/ppi/issues/livable-communities/info-2015/teamblogs.html



Multigenerational Planning for Elders and Children

Webinar June 3, 2015

Mildred E. Warner Department of City and Regional Planning, Cornell University

www.mildredwarner.org/planning | mew15@cornell.edu

Funding provided by the USDA National Institute for Food and Agriculture



Aging Population Creates Care Needs At Both Ends of the Life Cycle



Need a Multi-generational Planning Approach

Common Vision





Core Principles



Child-Friendly Cities

Basic Services Safe Water Safe Streets Opportunity to Play Civic Participation Family Support Protection from Exploitation



Many Common Elements



Age-Friendly Cities

Housing Transportation Services (Health) Outdoor Spaces Communication Civic and Social Participation Respect



APA Aging Policy Guide

- Housing variety of types, accessory flats, eliminate family definitions
- Land Use promote connectivity, allow mixed use
- Transportation from commuting to mobility
- Economic Well Being —inclusive of all ages, support care work
- Community Assets services, formal and informal supports
- Participation across age, class, race, gender



New Urbanist Bias in Planning for Aging

- Majority of elders in suburban and rural areas
- Built environment difficult to change in the short term
 Especially if community lacks development pressure
- How can we support aging in place and respect the current location choices of seniors?
- Can a multi-generational approach help?
- Will this help build political will for investment?



Source: Author based on WHO, Global Age Friendly Cities, 2007



Where are we now?

- Results of Planning Across Generations Survey
 2013 with the International City/County
 Management Association and Cornell University
- Surveyed attitudes, actions, zoning and planning
- o 1478 city managers responded
- Data tables that follow show % responding



Built Environment Not Optimal



Regulations to promote connectivity, mixed use, density – suburbs and rural areas lag behind







Joint Programming for Different Ages may be one solution

Public School/Municipal Collaboration	Metro Core (N=218)	Suburban (N=738)	Rural (N=464)
Schools and local government share facilities	78%	59%	48%
Local government participates in school district educational facility planning	41%	28%	21%
Which of the following services are offered	Metro Core	Suburban	Rural
in your community's public schools?	(N=174)	(N=498)	(N=284)
Child care services	64%	53%	38%
Adult education services	57%	51%	50%
Recreation programs for all ages	53%	52%	43%
Nutrition programs/meals for seniors	34%	34%	42%
Health care services for all ages ICMA Planning Across Generation Survey, 2013 (1.4	21% 78 city manager	13%	19%

Barriers to Joint Programming for Different Ages



Cross Agency Partnerships Needed

Are any of the following engaged in cross-agency partnerships to serve children or seniors?

0%



50%

100%

Parks and recreation department School district Police department Area agency on aging Public health department Hospital or health care providers Fire department Housing agency Economic development agency Planning department Community colleges Child care resource and referral agency Transportation or highway department

Planning Across Generations

Attitudes: City Managers See the Link between Serving Families with Children and Seniors

	Agree(%)
Seniors are a resource for the community	95%
Children are a resource for the community	94%
The community has a responsibility to care for children and youth	84%
The community has a responsibility to care for seniors	79%
The needs of families with young children are similar to the needs of the elderly with regards to the physical environment	78%
Communities that keep people for their entire lifespan are more vibrant	77%
Seniors generate sufficient tax revenue to cover the cost of services they demand	25%
Families with children generate sufficient tax revenue to cover the cost of services they demand	20%

Note: Percent reporting "Agree" and "Strongly Agree"



But are not giving specific attention to planning for families with children and seniors

Does Your Plan Specifically Address?	Emergency Plan	Comprehensive Plan	Economic Development Plan
Seniors (Metro)	77%	58%	28%
Seniors (Suburb)	71%	54%	32%
Seniors Rural	66%	51%	34%
Children/Youth (Metro)	66%	57%	27%
Children/Youth (Suburb)	64%	55%	32%
Children/Youth (Rural)	64%	51%	35%



Based on estimates by Edwards, Ryan D. 2010. Forecasting Government Revenue and Expenditure in the U.S. Using Data on Age-Specific Utilization, Working Paper no. WP10-01. 17



New Social Compact – Serving Children and Elders

Easier to raise funds if facilities are for all ages (51%)



PolicyLink. 2011. "The Changing Face of America: Demographic Change and the New Policy Agenda." Presentation by Manuel Pastor at PolicyLink Equity Summit, 2011.

A Strategy for the Future

Common Vision



Contact: <u>www.mildredwarner.org/planning</u> | <u>mew15@cornell.edu</u> Articles

- Not Your Mother's Suburb
- Planning Across Generations Survey Results

Issue Briefs:

- Joint Use with Schools
- Health Impacts
- Rural Differences
- Gender Concerns
- Informal Networks
- Family Friendly Planning
- And more!

Funding provided by the USDA National Institute for Food and Agriculture



At the heart of urban health since 1847



Resilient Communities: Empowering Older Adults in Disasters & Daily Life

Lindsay Goldman, LMSW Project Director

> APA Webinar June 3, 2015

Older People in New York City

- 1.4 million people 60 + = 17.4% of total population
- 59% female
- 25% employed
- 26.5% difficulty with mobility/self-care
- 58% in Brooklyn or Queens
- 55% rent
- 30% live alone
- 15.5% poor



AGE-FRIENDLYNYC

The Office of the Mayor The New York City Council The New York Academy of Medicine

Outdoor space & buildings	Transportation	Communication & information	Housing
Respect & social inclusion	Social participation	Civic participation & employment	Community support & health services



Notable Outcomes 2007-2015

- **13** City agencies working together
- Over 2,000,000 people benefit from age-friendly neighborhood improvements
- All 70 Business Improvement Districts, representing 30,000 storefront businesses, 85,507 total businesses and 1,007,988 employees, educated in age-friendly business practices
- **21%** reduction in pedestrian fatalities among older people
- 4,000 new bus shelters and 1,300 new benches
- Designated swim hours and water aerobics for older people in 16 public pools

Projected Population Growth



At the heart of urban health since 1847

Source: NYC Dept. of City Planning, 2013

Number of Community-Dwelling Older Adults

1 Dot = 100 Community-dwelling Older Adults (65+)



Community-Dwelling Older Adults with Limited English Proficiency

1 Dot = 100 Community-dwelling Older Adults (65+) Who Speak English "Less than Well"

Primary Language

- Spanish
- Other European Languages
- Asian Languages
- Other Languages

OEM Hurricane Evacuation Zones 2013









Density of Public Housing for Community-Dwelling Older Adults

- NYCHA Developments and Buildings Exclusively for Older Adults
- 202/811 Buildings

OEM Hurricane Evacuation Zones 2013









Data sources: NYC Office of Emergency Management, 2013 New York City Housing Authority Furman Center's Subsidized Housing Information Project, 2012 NYC Department of Planning, PLUTO Dataset, 2012

Older Adults in New York Disasters

- 2001 World Trade Center Attacks
- 2003 Blackout
- 2006 Heat wave
- 2011 Heat wave
- 2011 Hurricane Irene

2012 Hurricane Sandy

- •25/44 deaths (57%)
- •Tens of thousands trapped







Older Adults & Disasters Initiative

IOM and NYAM Convening to Identify Post-Storm Research Priorities (11/12)



NYAM Convenes Older Adults & Disasters Policy Advisory Committee (5/13)

Methods:

- Literature Review
- Secondary Data Analysis
- Key Informant Interviews (n=55)
- Focus groups (n=138)
- Mapping

What Does the Literature Say About Older People in Disasters?

Older Adults More Psychologically Resilient	Older Adults More Vulnerable
Life experience may have protective value	Predisposition to mobility and cognitive impairment, chronic health conditions, diminished sensory awareness, social isolation, and financial limitations

"I wasn't afraid of what could happen worse than what I had already seen in my life... I was able to accomplish a few things and help a few people so I didn't think about the misery."

-Resident Focus Group Participant



What Do Older New Yorkers Have to Say?

Five Communities Directly Affected By Hurricane Sandy Where Focus Groups Were Held

	Manhattan CD 3
	Queens CD 14
	Staten Island CD 2
	Brooklyn CD 13
_	

Brooklyn CD 13

Census tracts for Red Hook: Kings County 53, 59, and 85

OEM Hurricane Evacuation Zones 2013







Findings: Formal and informal social networks influenced decisions and facilitated access to information, assistance, and resources.



"I didn't receive a note on my door. I depend on my neighbors for information, and my neighbors were gone. And I couldn't use the phone."

-Residents Focus Group Participant



Findings: Because older people had not been adequately engaged in emergency planning, emergency services were often inadequate, inappropriate, or inaccessible, and basic and healthcare needs went unmet.



Findings: Older adults actively supported their communities.

Mean age of residents = 72 (age range: 47 to 99) Mean age of responders = 51 (age range: 24 to 83)

"So what I did, I'm usually the oldest person in the building, so I cooked for the younger kids so I made [food]... and they came and they ate and we had a plan. If anything happens we go up to the fourth floor. The girl on the fourth floor left and left her door open for us. When we saw the water rising, we went up."

-Residents Focus Group Participant



Findings: Neighborhoods Responded

73% of responders were local

"No one from FEMA spoke Chinese. They were stationed at the Chinese Benevolent Association, and no one can speak Chinese... I was asked if I could send people down to translate so we did. There should be some thought to these needs before a crisis." –Frontline Responders Focus Group

-Frontline Responders Focus Group Participant





Overall Takeaways

Pre-event functioning predicts response & recovery

Community as primary point of intervention

All sectors/agencies must be engaged

Preparedness as a function of overall health and wellbeing



Recommendations

Engage older people as part of the solution

Develop emergency plans with an "aging lens"

Build local response capacity

Enhance social networks



CONTACT INFORMATION

Ramona Mullahey - Private Practice Division Past Chair 808-457-4664, <u>Ramona.Mullahey@hud.gov</u>

Jana Lynott, AICP - Senior Strategic Policy Advisor Transportation and Livable Communities AARP Public Policy Institute 202-434-3893, <u>ilvnott@aarp.org_Twitter:</u> @JanaLynott www.aarp.org/livabilityindex; www.aarp.org/livablepolicy @AARPpolicy (#LivIndex)

Mildred E. Warner - Professor, City and Regional Planning W. Sibley Hall, Cornell University - Ithaca, NY 14853 607-255-6816, <u>mew15@cornell.edu_http://www.mildredwarner.org</u>

Lindsay Goldman, LMSW - Project Director, Division of Health Policy The New York Academy of Medicine 212.419.3562, goldman@nyam.org www.nyam.org/OlderAdultsResilienceReport_

