



CAOM POSTER JUDGING CRITERIA

Poster Format: Single-Case Study

TITLE: _____

POSTER LEAD AUTHOR: _____

Directions: Make sure title is appropriate (scientific and significance); Affiliations are listed (acknowledgement); All authors must be listed with submission.

	EXCELLENT	ADEQUATE	POOR	N/A*
APPEARANCE	Clear, well-organized poster. High degree of visual interest. No grammatical mistakes. Good flow, easy to follow.	Satisfactory visual interest and organization. Minor grammatical errors.	Crowded, poorly organized, inadequate information, no visual interest. Many grammatical errors.	
ABSTRACT	Concise, clear, accurate, and well-written synopsis of the poster.	Adequate and informative synopsis, but provides too much or not enough detail and/or contains flaws in writing mechanics.	Absent or poorly written abstract. Does not summarize the poster's important content well.	
INTRODUCTION	Pathology defined and described. Case introduced clearly and concisely. Attracts the reader's attention.	Pathology and case are adequately introduced.	Introduction is either absent or does not effectively introduce the pathology or the case.	
CHALLENGE ADDRESSED BY THE CASE	Challenge or issue addressed is pertinent to the scientific community.	Challenge or issue addressed has some pertinence to the scientific community.	Challenge or issue addressed is not pertinent to the scientific community.	
CASE (Case Report)	Case report clearly presented in a logical and well-developed manner.	Case report adequately presented in a logical and well-developed manner.	Case report not clearly presented in a logical and well-developed manner.	
DISCUSSION	Good review of literature relevant to the case. Significance of the case is well-explained.	Adequate literature review. Significance of the case is explained.	Inadequate literature review. Significance of case unclear.	
CONCLUSION	Effectively summarizes the case and its relevance.	Adequately summarizes the case and its relevance.	Does not adequately summarize the case or its relevance.	
OSTEOPATHIC SIGNIFICANCE	Topic and poster have high impact, integrating the osteopathic concept and/or impacting the osteopathic profession.	Topic and poster integrate the osteopathic concept and/or impact the osteopathic profession.	Topic and poster do not integrate the osteopathic concept and/or offer any impact to the osteopathic profession.	
TOTAL	# _____ x 2 =	# _____ x 1 =	# _____ x 0 =	

TOTAL GRADE (COLUMN 1 + COLUMN 2 + COLUMN 3) = _____ out of 16 = _____ %



CLEVELAND ACADEMY OF
OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE

CAOM POSTER JUDGING CRITERIA

**Poster Format: Original Outcome Research/ Educational Intervention Study/
Osteopathic Focused Scholarly Activity**

TITLE: _____

POSTER LEAD AUTHOR: _____

Directions: Make sure title is appropriate (scientific and significance); Affiliations are listed (acknowledgement); All authors must be listed with submission.

	EXCELLENT	ADEQUATE	POOR
APPEARANCE	Clear, well-organized poster. High degree of visual interest. No grammatical mistakes. Good flow, easy to follow.	Satisfactory visual interest and organization. Minor grammatical errors.	Crowded, poorly organized, inadequate information, no visual interest. Many grammatical errors.
ABSTRACT	Concise, clear, accurate, and well-written synopsis of the poster.	Adequate and informative synopsis, but provides too much or not enough detail and/or contains flaws in writing mechanics	Absent or poorly written abstract. Does not summarize the poster's important content well..
INTRODUCTION	Research problem clearly defined.	Research problem adequately defined.	Unclear. Objectives vague or unidentifiable.
CHALLENGE ADDRESSED	Challenge or issue addressed is pertinent to the scientific community.	Challenge or issue addressed has some pertinence to the scientific community.	Challenge or issue addressed is not pertinent to the scientific community.
METHODS: STUDY DESIGN	Flawless study design to meet objectives.	Study design is fair with some limitations noted.	Study design is faulty and does not permit adequate conclusions.
TABLES AND FIGURES	Clearly and concisely convey information.	Organized, of moderate value to reader.	Unorganized. Conflicting information.
STATISTICS	Study utilizes appropriate statistical tests to address research question.	Study utilizes statistical tests to address research question.	Study does not include statistical analysis of data.
DISCUSSION	Good use of literature and current data to explain significance of the results. Describes applications and limitations.	Adequate literature review. Significance of some results is unclear.	Vague interpretation of results.
CONCLUSION	All conclusions appropriate and supported.	Most conclusions are supported.	Conclusions are not supported by results.
OSTEOPATHIC SIGNIFICANCE	Topic and poster have high impact, integrating the osteopathic concept and/or impacting the osteopathic profession.	Topic and poster integrate the osteopathic concept and/or impact the osteopathic profession	Topic and poster do not integrate the osteopathic concept and/or offer any impact to the osteopathic profession.
TOTAL	# _____ x 2 =	# _____ x 1 =	# _____ x 0 =

TOTAL GRADE (COLUMN 1 + COLUMN 2 + COLUMN 3) = _____ out of 20 = _____ %