

2019 Moot Court Errata & Clarifications

Updated 2/20/19

Q: Is the omission of R.C. § 4511.01(A) intentional given its usage in 4511.01(B) and reference in the dissent of the Court of Appeals opinion?

A: R.C. § 4511.01(A) is not needed for this case.

Q: What is the correct citation for the Court of Appeals opinion, as we lack a date, reporter, and other information normally required?

A: The case that is currently under appeal for moot court can be cited as *State v. Mercury*, Case No. 2018-9999 (15th Dist.) (“App. Op.”) at xx. Then every other citation would just be App. Op. at xx.

Q: What circuit is Buckeye in?

A: No elaboration needed. However, you should assume all case law provided in the case file is persuasive authority, except for cases from the U.S. Supreme Court, which is controlling authority.

Q: Is an external citation to a dictionary permissible as it is not case law, nor a new 'fact' under rules III(J) and V(C)?

A: Dictionary definitions can be used. Also, refer to the Canons of Interpretation provided beginning on page 132 of the case file, specifically the Ordinary Meaning Canon.