

DISCLOSURES

I have no financial relationship to disclose.

I will not advocate for off-label use and/or investigational use in my presentation.

OBJECTIVES

- o Discuss the framework of the Beers Criteria
- Develop a care plan for a patient who has an adverse drug reaction to a Beers Criteria medication
- Justify when it is acceptable to use a medication listed in the Beers Criteria for an elderly patient
- Evaluate the use of antipsychotic medications in patients with dementia.

CASE ASSESSMENT

• Walt D. is an 88 year old male residing in a local nursing home. The following is known about him:

Meds	Diagnoses	Labs
Olanzapine 5mg daily	Dementia w/hallucinations	sCr 0.8mg/dL
Furosemide 20mg daily	Edema	K+ 3.8mEq/L
Warfarin 2mg daily	A. fib	Na+132 mEq/L
Aspirin 81mg daily	Depression	CBC WNL
Sertraline 50mg daily		INR 2.2
Diphenhydramine 25mg daily as needed for sleep		Ht 5'11" Wt 165 lbs

CASE ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS

- What else would you want to know about the patient?
- Which, if any, of Walt's meds are potentially inappropriate according to the 2012 Beers Criteria?
- Which, if any, of Walt's meds are potentially causing his hyponatremia?
- Which, if any, of Walt's meds have anticholinergic properties?

WHY IS UNDERSTANDING GERIATRIC PHARMACY IMPORTANT?

MARK BEERS, MD

- Developed the initial Beers Criteria as a result of research he had published in 1988 in JAMA that looked at the files of 850 LTC residents in Boston
- This initial research found that psychoactive medications often cause confusion and other problems
- A direct result of the 1988 article was the initial Beers Criteria which were published in 1991
- Dr Beers died of complications of diabetes in 2009 at the age of 54

ttp://www.nytimes.com/2009/03/10/health/10beers.html (Accessed 4/1/2013)

1991 BEERS CRITERIA

- Developed by 13 nationally recognized geriatric experts
- Used a modified Delphi method
- Used 30 factors to identify inappropriate use of medications, many of which were commonly used
- Purpose of the list was to provide useful information for QA review, health services research, and clinical practice guidelines

Beers MH, Ouslander JG, Rollingher I, Reuben DB, Brooks J, Beck JC. Explicit Criteria for Determining Inappre Medication Use in Nursing Home Residents. Arch Intern Med. 1991;151(9):1825-1832.

1997 BEERS CRITERIA

- Panel of 6 nationally recognized experts on appropriate use of medications in geriatrics
- Updated and expanded criteria to define potentially inappropriate medications
- Agreed on validity of 28 criteria describing potentially inappropriate medication use
- Also 35 criteria describing potentially inappropriate use for 15 medical conditions
- Added goals of assessing clinical severity and incorporating clinical information on diagnoses when available

Beers MH. Explicit Criteria for Determining Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use by the Elderly: An Update. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157(14):1531-1536.

2003 BEERS CRITERIA

- Panel of 12 geriatric medicine specialists from across the US
- Modified Delphi method
- o 48 individual medications or medication classes
- Also looked at 20 different diseases and specific medications that should be avoided in geriatrics with those conditions
- Viewed as an important update

Fick DM, Cooper JW, Wade WE, Waller JL, Maclean J, Beers MH. Updating the Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults: Results of a US Consensus Panel of Experts. Arch Intern Med. 2005;150(322):2716-2724.

2012 BEERS CRITERIA

- Was updated in conjunction with support from The American Geriatrics Society
- Modified Delphi with 11 panelists
- Sought "to update Beers Criteria using a comprehensive, systematic review and grading of the evidence on drug-related problems and adverse drug events"
- Reviewed 2,169 references
- Evidence was graded based on American College of Physicians' Guideline Grading System with regards to quality and strength

The American Geriatrics Society 2012 Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel. AGS updated Beers Criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012; DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2012.03923.x.

STRATEGY OF UPDATING BEERS CRITERIA

- Incorporate new evidence since 2003 update
- Grade the strength and quality of the evidence
- Use an interdisciplinary panel
- Incorporate evidence-based exceptions into the criteria

INTENT OF 2012 BEERS CRITERIA UPDATE

- Improve care by decreasing exposure to
- potentially inappropriate medications (PIMs) Educational Tool
- Quality Measure
- Research Tool

tions of Quality and Strength of Evider Table 1. De Description nor Evidence includes consistent results from well-designed, well-conducted studies in representative populations that directly assess effects on health outcomes (≥ 2 consistent, higher-quality randomized controlled trials or multiple, consistent observational studies with no significant methodological flavs showing after effects) Evidence is sufficient to determine effects on health outcomes, but the number, quality, size, or consistency of included studies; generalizability contine practice, or indirect nature of the evidence on health outcomes (≥ 1 higher-quality trials with some increasition; ≥ 2 consistent, lower-quality trials, or multiple, consistent observational studies with no significant methodological threas showing at least moderate effects) limits the strength of the ence finece is insufficient to assess effects on health outcomes because of limited number or power of studies, large and unexplaine insistency between higher-quality studies, important flaws in study design or conduct, gaps in the chain of evidence, or lack of mating on important health outcomes. lation clearly outweigh risks and burden OR risks and burden clearly outweigh benefits sfinely balanced with risks and burden ient evidence to determine net benefits or risks

QUALITY OF EVIDENCE AND STRENGTH OF

RECOMMENDATION

can Geriatrics Society 2012 Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel. AGS updated Beers Criteria for potentially ate medication use in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012; DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2012.03923.x.

2012 BEERS CRITERIA TABLE 2

- o Summarizes 34 medications or medication classes that are potentially inappropriate and should be avoided in geriatrics
- Newly included drugs in this update include:
 - Megestrol
 - Glvburide
 - · Sliding-scale insulin

BEERS CRITERIA 2012 ANTICHOLINERGICS -1ST GEN ANTIHISTAMINES Strong

- Brompheniramine
 Chlorpheniramine
 Chlorpheniramine
 Clemastine
 Diphenydramine
 Hydroxyzine
 Promethazine
 Others Avoid Mod-High reduced clearance; tolerance w/ use as hypnotic;
 - Diphenhydramine is
 - ok in some situations Others

What situations do you think diphenhydramine is ok in?

BEERS CRITERIA 2012 ANTICHOLINERGICS - ANTIPARKINSONS Benztropine Tribeyyphen More effective agents Avoid Mod Strong for PD; Trihexyphenidyl Not recommended for EPS due to psych meds What are some more effective agents for PD? What can we use for EPS due to long term use of psych meds?



rugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
Dipyridamole (short-acting)	Orthostasis; IV acceptable	Avoid	Mod	Strong
Ticlopidine	Safer alternatives	Avoid	Mod	Strong

rugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
Nitrofurantoin	Pulmonary tox; safer alternatives; lack of concentration in urine w/ CrCl < 60ml/min leads to decreased or lack of efficacy	Avoid long- term use; CrCl<60	Mod	Strong
	leads to decreased or			
			antoin	
	erence between the differe	ent nitrofui	antom	
What is the diffe ormulations?	erence between the differe	ent nitrofui	antom	







)rugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
Disopyramide	Potent negative inotrope can lead to HF; anticholinergic	Avoid	Low	Strong
Dronedarone	Worse outcomes in those with chronic a. fib or HF. Prefer rate control	Avoid in A.fib; HF	Mod	Strong
Digoxin > 0.125mg QD	In HF, \uparrow doses \neq \uparrow benefit, but does increase tox risk; \downarrow clearance can = more tox	Avoid	Mod	Strong
Nifedipine, IR	Hypotension; myocardial ischemia	Avoid	High	Strong
Spironolactone >25mg QD	Risk of hyperkalemia in HF esp with	Avoid in HF or CrCl <30	Mod	Strong

	RITERIA 2012 ERTIARY TCAS (AL	ONE OI	R COM	BO)
Drugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
 Amitriptyline CDP- amitriptyline Clomipramine Doxepin> 6mg/d Imipramine Perphenazine- amitriptyline 	Anticholinergic; sedating; orthostatic hypotension. Safety profile of doxepin <6mg/d is similar to placebo	Avoid	High	Strong
What are th	ne commonly used secondary a	amine TCA	.s?	•

			NTIPS	
Drugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
 Chlorpromazine Haloperidol Loxapine Perphenazine Aripiprazole Asenapine Clozapine Iloperidone Lurasidone Olanzapine Paliperidone Quetiapine Risperidone Ziprasidone 	Increased risk of stroke and mortality in dementia patients	Avoid use for behaviors with dementia unless nonpharm ineffective or pt is risk to self or others	Mod	Strong









Drugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
Desiccated thyroid	concerns about cardiac effects; safer alternatives	Avoid	Low	Strong
Estrogens +/- progestins	Carcinogenic potential; no cardiac or cognitive protection seen; vaginal estrogens ok for dryness in women w/ breast cancer	Avoid oral & patch; topical vaginal cream ok for vaginal symptoms	PO/Patch: high Topical: mod	PO/Patch: strong Topical: weak
Long-acting sulfonylureas	Prolonged hypoglycemia due to metabolites	Avoid	High	Strong

Drugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
Growth hormone	Small effect; risk of ADRs	Avoid except for pituitary gland removal	High	Strong
Insulin, sliding scale	Higher risk of hypoglycemia w/out improvement in hyperglycemia regardless of setting	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
Megestrol	Minimal effect on wt gain; ↑ risk of clots	Avoid	Moderate	Strong

Beers Crit GI	ERIA 2012			
Drugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
Metoclopramide	EPS including TD;	Avoid, unless gastroparesis	Moderate	Strong
Mineral oil, oral	Aspiration;	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
Trimethobenzamide	Least effective antiemetic; EPS potential	Avoid	Moderate	Strong

BEERS CRITERIA 2012 PAIN • Meperidine Not effective oral Avoid High Strong analgesic in common doses; neurotox; safer alternatives Opioid w/ most CNS ADEs; safer alternatives Pentazocine Strong Avoid Low Skeletal Muscle Anticholinergic Avoid Moderate Strong . Skeletal Muscle Relaxants (carisoprodol, chlorzoxazone, cyclobenzaprine, metaxalone, methocarbamol, orphenadrine) effects, sedation, fracture risk; questionable effectiveness at tolerable doses

BEERS CRITE PAIN	ERIA 2012			
Drugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
 Non-COX- selective NSAIDs (ASA>325mg/d, diclofenac, diflunisal, etodolac, ibuprofen, ketoprofen, meloxicam, nabumetone, naproxen, oxaprozin, piroxicam, sulindac) 	GI bleed, PUD, which is reduced but not eliminated in combo with PPIs or misoprostol	Avoid chronic use unless alternatives ineffective. Pt should take PPI or misoprostol	Moderate	Strong
 Indomethacin and ketorolac 	Same as above; indomethacin has most CNS ADRs	Avoid	Indo-mod Keto-high	Strong

2012 BEERS CRITERIA TABLE 3

- Summarizes medications that are potentially inappropriate with certain disease states because the medication can potentially exacerbate the disease state
- Newly included drugs in this update include:
 TZDs in HF
 - SSRIs with falls and fractures
 - Acetylcholinesterase-inhibitors with syncope

BEERS CRIT	ERIA 2012 CULAR – HEAF	RT FA	AILURE	
Drugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
 NSAIDs and COX-2 inhibitors Diltiazem & verapamil TZDs Cilostazol Dronedarone 	Fluid retention and worsening or exacerbation of HF	Avoid	NSAIDs: mod CCBs: mod TZDs: high Cilostazol: low Dronedarone: mod	Strong
				•

Drugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
 AChEIs Peripheral a- blockers (doxazosin, prazosin, terazosin) Tertiary TCAs Chlorpromazine, thioridazine, olanzapine 	Orthostatic hypotension or bradycardia risks	Avoid	α-blockers: high TCAs, AChEIs, & antipsychotics: mod	AChEIs & TCAs: strong a-blockers & psych: weak

BEERS CRIT CNS – CHRO			S/EPILEPS	ΥY
Drugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
 Bupropion Chlorpromazine Clozapine Olanzapine Tramadol 	Lowers seizure threshold; may be ok if well- controlled & ineffective alternatives	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
 Olanzapine 	controlled & ineffective			

BZDs delirium. Chlorpromazine Should be slowly tapered to prevent H ₂ RAs withdrawal	rugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
Hypotics Thiordiazine	Anticholinergics BZDs Chlorpromazine Steroids H_2RAs Meperidine Hypnotics	worsens delirium. Should be slowly tapered to prevent	Avoiu	Modelate	biong





Drugs Rationale Rec Quality Strength • Oral Decongestants CNS stimulants Avoid Moderate Strong • Stimulants Theobromines When might methylphenidate be used in a geriatric patient? When a geriatric Strong	CNS – Insc	OMNIA			
Decongestants stimulants • Stimulants Theobromines • Theobromines When might methylphenidate be used in a geriatric		Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
	Decongestants Stimulants 		Avoid	Moderate	Strong
		lethylphenidate	be used in a geriat	ric	

			Quality	Strength
All antipsychotics (except quetiapine and clozapine) Antiemetics (metoclopramide, prochlorperazine, promethazine)	DA receptor antagonists which may worsen PD symptoms.	Avoid	Moderate	Strong
Why not quetiap	ine or clozapine	»?		



BEERS CRITI URINARY TR		I		
Drugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
 Inhaled anticholinergics Oral anticholinergics (except those for UI) 	Decrease urinary flow and cause retention	Avoid in men	Moderate	Inhaled agents: strong Others: weak
				-

	RACT — STR	ESS OR	MIXED Quality	UI Strength
Drugs a- blockers Doxazosin Prazosin Terazosin	Aggravation of UI	Avoid in women	Moderate	Strong
Terazosiii				



BEERS CRITERIA 2012 MEDS TO BE USED WITH CAUTION

Drugs	Rationale	Rec	Quality	Strength
ASA for primary prevention of cardiac events	Lack of evidence in >80 yo as compared to risk	Use w/ caution in >80	Low	Weak
• Dabigatran	> Risk of bleeding than warfarin in >75 yo; lack of safety for CrCl < 30ml/min	Use w/ caution in > 75 yo or CrCl< 30 ml/min	Moderate	Weak
Prasugrel	> Risk of bleeding in geriatrics; however benefit is seen in those with prior MI or DM	Use w/ caution in > 75 yo	Moderate	Weak
What about warfar	in?			



What is SIADH?

Which antidepressants have lowest risk of SIADH?

BEERS CRITERIA – WHAT DOES IT ALL MEAN?

- Valuable, evidence-based guideline
- Applicable to many situations and patients
- Role in e-prescribing and POE
- Safer use of medication in geriatrics
- o But...
 - Underrepresentation of geriatrics in clinical trials
 - Doesn't address renal dosing
 - Hospice not represented
- Ultimately your professional judgment is what matters most

BEERS REVIEW CASE

- 82 year old female who resides in local AL
- o Diagnoses: HTN, Depression, Anxiety
- Meds:
 - Lisinopril 10mg daily for last 3 years
 - Fluoxetine 20mg daily for last 15 years
 - Lorazepam 0.5mg 4 times a day as needed for last 25 years
- What would you like to recommend?

BEERS REVIEW CASE – YOU'RE SEEING DOUBLE

- o 82 year old female who resides in local AL
- o Diagnoses: HTN, Depression, Anxiety
- Meds:
 - Lisinopril 10mg daily for last 3 years
 - Fluoxetine 20mg daily for last 15 years
 - Lorazepam 0.5mg 4 times a day as needed for last 25 years
- What would you like to recommend?

STOPP

- $\begin{tabular}{ll} $$ o \underline{S} creening \underline{T} ool of \underline{O} lder \underline{P} ersons' potentially in appropriate \underline{P} rescriptions $$ \end{tabular} \end{tabular} \end{tabular}$
- More evidence-based than 2003 Beers Criteria
- Designed more specifically (ie digoxin 125mcg w/ impaired renal fx, thiazide diuretic w/ hx of gout)
- STOPP criteria has done a better job of identifying medications that led to hospitalization (35% vs 25%)
- o European

Gallagher P. O'Mahony D. STOPP (Screening Tool of Older Persons' potentially inappropriate Prescriptions): application to acutely ill elderly patients and comparison with Beers' criteria. <u>Age</u> Accim22008;37:673-9.

START

- $\begin{tabular}{ll} $ & \underline{S}$ creening \underline{T} ool to \underline{A} lert doctors to the \underline{R} ight \underline{T} reatment $ \end{tabular} \end{tabular} \end{tabular} \end{tabular} \end{tabular} \end{tabular}$
- A lot of emphasis on overprescribing, but this tool is designed to look at prescribing omission
- ie) Calcium and Vitamin D supplementation in patients w/ known osteoporosis
- Found 57% of seniors not getting meds they should be and don't have contraindications for including 26% with CAD without statin tx.
- o European
- Barry PJ, Gallagher P, Ryan C, O'Mahony D. START (screening tool to alert doctors to the right treatment)—au evidence-based screening tool to detect prescribing omissions in elderly patients. <u>Acc Accine 2007;38:652-8</u>.

ANTICHOLINERGICS

- What are typical anticholinergic side effects?
- What medications have anticholinergic effects?

ANTICHOLINERGIC SCALES

o Anticholinergic burden is more than we thought

- Additive anticholinergic effects
- Different scales:
 - Anticholinergic Drug Scale
 - Carnahan RM, Lund BC, Perry PJ et al. The Anticholinergic Drug Scale as a measure o drug-related anticholinergic burden: Associations with serum anticholinergic activity. J Clin Pharmacol 2006;461:1481-1486
 Anticholinergic Risk Scale
 - Rudolph JL, Salow MJ, Angelini MC et al. The Anticholinergic Risk Scale and anticholinergic adverse effects in older persons. Arch Intern Med 2008;168:508–513.
 - Anticholinergic adverse effects in older persons. Arch Intern Med 2008;168:508–5.
 Anticholinergic Cognitive Burden Scale
 - The anticholinergic burden scale.
 www.indydiscoverynetwork.org/AnticholinergicCognitiveBurdenScale.html. Accessed April 1, 2013.

THE ANTICHOLINERGIC COGNITIVE BURDEN SCALE*

ACB Score = 1 Possible Ach Effects	ACB Score = 2 Definite Ach Effects	ACB Score = 3 Definite Ach Effects
Atenolol	Amantadine	Amitriptyline
Alprazolam	Carbamazepine	Chlorpheniramine
Furosemide	Cyclobenzaprine	Darifenacin
Metoprolol	Cyproheptadine	Diphenhydramine
Isosorbide	Loxapine	Hydroxyzine
Prednisone	Meperidine	Hyoscyamine
Warfarin	Oxcarbazepine	Oxybutynin
*Not a complete list		

USING THE ACB SCORE

- A score of 2 or 3 = definite anticholinergic properties
- Each definite anticholinergic scale may increase the risk of cognitive impairment by 46% over 6 years
- For each 1 point increase in ACB total score there may be a decline in the MMSE of 0.33 points over 2 years
- Each 1 point increase in the ACB score is also correlated with a 26% increase in the risk of death

LET'S USE THE ACB!

o Sarah Sue

- Furosemide 40mg daily
- Warfarin 2mg daily
- Acetaminophen 500mg twice daily as needed
- What is her ACB score?
- Physician wants to add darifenacin 7.5mg daily for OAB.
- What does that now mean?

ANTIPSYCHOTICS IN LTC

- 2005 atypical antipsychotics get black box warning against use in dementia patients
- 2008 this warning was expanded to all antipsychotics
- 2011 OIG released report stating 88% of Medicare claims for atypical antipsychotics were used off-label for patients with dementia
- This led to a lot of press about antipsychotics in LTC facilities and general population
- ${\rm \circ}$ Ultimately in 2012 CMS announced a goal to reduce off-label use by 15% by the end of 2012

ANTIPSYCHOTICS – WHAT DOES IT MEAN? • There is a relationship between dementia and

- increased risk of death when treated with antipsychotics
- Nonpharmacologic measures should be tried first in patients with behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD)
- Increased emphasis from surveyors

CASE ASSESSMENT

• Walt D. is an 88 year old male residing in a local nursing home. The following is known about him:

Meds	Diagnoses	Labs
Olanzapine 5mg daily	Dementia w/hallucinations	sCr 0.8mg/dL
Furosemide 20mg daily	Edema	K+ 3.8mEq/L
Warfarin 2mg daily	A. fib	Na+ 132 mEq/L
Aspirin 81mg daily	Depression	CBC WNL
Sertraline 50mg daily		INR 2.2
Diphenhydramine 25mg daily as needed for sleep		Ht 5'11" Wt 165 lbs

CASE ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS

- What else would you want to know about the patient?
- Which, if any, of Walt's meds are potentially inappropriate according to the 2012 Beers Criteria?
- Which, if any, of Walt's meds are potentially causing his hyponatremia?
- Which, if any, of Walt's meds have anticholinergic properties?

SELECTED WEBSITES

- The American Geriatrics Society
 - http://www.americangeriatrics.org/
- The American Society of Consultant Pharmacists
 - https://www.ascp.com/
- Anticholinergic Cognitive Burden Scale
 - http://www.indydiscoverynetwork.org/Anticholinergic CognitiveBurdenScale.html

SELECTED REFERENCES

- The American Geriatrics Society 2012 Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel. AGS updated Beers Criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012; DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2012.03923.x.
- Gallagher P, O'Mahony D. STOPP (Screening Tool of Older Persons' potentially inappropriate Prescriptions): application to acutely ill elderly patients and comparison with Beers' criteria. <u>Age Ageing2008;37:673-9</u>.
- Barry PJ, Gallagher P, Ryan C, O'Mahony D. START (screening tool to alert doctors to the right treatment)—an evidence-based screening tool to detect prescribing omissions in elderly patients. <u>Age Ageing 2007;36:632-</u>
- Carnahan RM, Lund BC, Perry PJ et al. The Anticholinergic Drug Scale as a measure of drug-related anticholinergic burden: Associations with serum anticholinergic activity. J Clin Pharmacol 2006;46:1481–1486.
- Rudolph JL, Salow MJ, Angelini MC et al. The Anticholinergic Risk Scale and anticholinergic adverse effects in older persons. Arch Intern Med 2008;168:508–513.



QUALITY OF EVIDENCE AND STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION

Designation	Description
Quality of evid	ence
High	Evidence includes consistent results from well-designed, well-conducted studies in representative populations that directly assess effects on health outcomes (≥ 2 consistent, higher-quality randomized controlled trials or multiple, consistent observational studies with no significant methodological flaws showing large effects)
Moderate	Evidence is sufficient to determine effects on health outcomes, but the number, quality, size, or consistency of included studies; generalizability to routine practice; or indirect nature of the evidence on health outcomes (≥ 1 higher-quality trial with > 100 participants; ≥ 2 higher-quality trials with some inconsistency; ≥ 2 consistent, lower-quality trials; or multiple, consistent observational studies with no significant methodological flaws showing at least moderate effects) limits the strength of the evidence
Low	Evidence is insufficient to assess effects on health outcomes because of limited number or power of studies, large and unexplained inconsistency between higher-quality studies, important flaws in study design or conduct, gaps in the chain of evidence, or lack of information on important health outcomes
Strength of rea	commendation
Strong	Benefits clearly outweigh risks and burden OR risks and burden clearly outweigh benefits
Weak	Benefits finely balanced with risks and burden
Insufficient	Insufficient evidence to determine net benefits or risks

The American Geriatrics Society 2012 Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel. AGS updated Beers Criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012; DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2012.03923.x.