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## Highlights

## Highlights:

- 13 members completed the survey comprised of 8 distributors and 5 manufacturers.
- Sales remained overwhelmingly negative amidst Covid-19 related retail closures. Average sales declined $12.4 \%$ year-over-year in 2Q20, similar to the 12\% decline in 1Q20.
- Both e-Commerce and grocery stores showed meaningful improvement from 10 and were the only positive end markets in 2Q. Not surprisingly, the three retail segments (specialty, niche, and mass market), floral and food service / restaurants remain firmly in negative territory due to Covid-19 related restrictions.
- Price contribution turned slightly negative for the first time in the quarter at down $0.1 \%$ following a flattish reading in 10 .
- The outlook for 2020 was again revised lower to down $20 \%$ following an average outlook calling for a $16 \%$ decline in 1Q. The reduced outlook reflects the continued impact of Covid-19 and uncertainty regarding the duration of the impact.


## 2Q Sales Growth

Sales in 1Q20 turned sharply negative amidst Covid-19 related retail closures. Average sales declined 12.4\% year-over-year in the quarter, compared with a decline of $12.0 \%$ in 1Q20. A net $42 \%$ of participants categorized results as worse-than-expected ( $25 \%$ better-than-expected; $0 \%$ inline; $75 \%$ worse-than-expected) up from a net $75 \%$ in 1Q. The improvement reflects lowered expectations following the steep drop in demand in 1Q.


| Sales Growth (year-0ver-year \% chg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 4Q18 | 1Q19 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | 4Q19 | 1Q20 | 2 Q20 |
| Average Sales Gwth | 4.4\% | 5.9\% | 4.0\% | 0.9\% | -1.2\% | -0.3\% | -12.0\% | -12.4\% |
| Sales vs Expectations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3Q18 | 4Q18 | 1Q19 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | 4Q19 | 1Q20 | 2Q20 |
| Better-than-expected | 26\% | 27\% | 24\% | 19\% | 15\% | 5\% | 8\% | 25\% |
| Inline with expectations | 44\% | 32\% | 65\% | 55\% | 45\% | 68\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| Worse-than-expected | 30\% | 41\% | 12\% | 26\% | 40\% | 26\% | 83\% | 67\% |
| Net (\% better - \% worse) | -4\% | -14\% | 12\% | -7\% | -25\% | -21\% | -75\% | -42\% |
| Participants Reporting Sales Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3Q18 | 4Q18 | 1Q19 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | 4Q19 | 1Q20 | 2Q20 |
| Positive | 67\% | 68\% | 59\% | 45\% | 35\% | 53\% | 8\% | 25\% |
| Flat | 4\% | 14\% | 24\% | 15\% | 15\% | 11\% | 17\% | 0\% |
| Negative | 30\% | 18\% | 18\% | 40\% | 50\% | 37\% | 75\% | 75\% |
| Net (\% pos - \% neg) | 37\% | 50\% | 41\% | 5\% | -15\% | 16\% | -67\% | -50\% |

## Price Contribution

Price contribution turned slightly negative for the first time in the quarter at down $0.1 \%$ following a flattish reading in 1Q. Despite the year-over-year decline, a net $33 \%$ of participants reported higher product prices, down from 42\% in $1 Q$.

| Product Prices (year-over-year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{3 Q 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 Q 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 Q 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 Q 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 Q 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 Q 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 Q 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 Q 2 0}$ |
| Higher | $81 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Same | $15 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Lower | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Net (\% Higher minus \% Loweı | $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ |
| Avg Price Contribution to Gro | $\mathbf{1 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 \%}$ |

