



## Ultrasonics in Dentistry



Damien Walmsley UIA 2011

#### Objectives

Background of dental ultrasonics

How they work

How they are used in dentistry

Future directions



#### **Frequency of Ultrasound**



Ultrasonic scalers 25 to 40 kHz

Sonic scalers 2 to 6 kHz



## Ultrasonic Scalers





#### 1950 - 1955











### Bacterial Biofilm

## **Removal of Calculus/Cementum**



Creation of a biologically acceptable root surface by the thorough removal of plaque biofilm, calculus and endotoxins

#### Successful Debridement

#### In teeth - thorough Instrumentation

Ability to contact root surface Efficacy of deposit removal Efficiency of deposit removal Effect on root surface Patient comfort Ergonomic





#### .... NOW !!!





## 2010

### Ultrasonic Technologies









## Superior Efficacy & Efficiency

## Removal achieved by several methods

Mechanical 'chipping' action

(Primary method)

Irrigation

Cavitational effects

Acoustic microstreaming

#### Mechanical

# Predominant mode of cleaning is the sweeping action of oscillating tip over tooth surface



### A common misconception

Magnetostrictive scalers produce elliptical motion at the tip Piezoelectric scalers produce linear motion at the tip



### Tip motion

## magnetostrictive AND piezoelectric tips are ELLIPTICAL

Lea SC, Felver B, Landini G & Walmsley AD.
 Three dimensional ultrasonic scaler probe oscillations.
 J Clin Periodontol 2009; 36, 44-50.

 Lea SC, Landini G.
 Reconstruction of dental ultrasonic scaler 3D vibration patterns from phase-related data.
 Med Eng Phys, 2010 (doi:10.1016/j.medengphy.2010.02.010).

Impact of tip motion impacts on tooth surfaces!

All scaler tips show lateral oscillation

Impact into tooth surfaces during usage

Differences in tooth surface defects is due to tip shape and cross-section

## Scanning Easer Vibrometry

1. 11117



### Motion of the scaling tip



#### Longitudinal movement



#### BIRMINGHAM

## Shows the longitudinal movement (front face-on)



## Shows the longitudinal movement (tip end-on)



#### Ultrasonic Adaptation



#### **Clinical Relevance ?**



## All work differently

### **Unloaded** situation

Variability between tips

Poor standardisation

The displacement amplitude of ultrasonic scaler inserts Lea SC, Landini G & Walmsley AD J Clin Perio 2003; 30:505-10

### Even the generators !





#### Measurements during tooth contact



## Loaded situation

Tip movement significantly different from each other at all loads

Significant difference in tip response from the unloaded situation and also between loads

Ultrasonic scaler tip performance under various load conditions Lea SC, Landini G & Walmsley AD J Clin Periodontol 2003; 30: 876-81 UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM

#### The 3D SLV

Measure for 1<sup>st</sup> time in 3D

He-Ne laser beams operated in 3 scanning heads of SLV

Builds up a vibration picture





### **3D** measurements



#### Results (P - high power)


## **Clinical Impact**



#### UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

# Subgingival Adaptation

Position insert like a probe"Vertical"





#### Lower: shorter, less powerful stroke

- Less lateral motion
- Light deposit, biofilm, endotoxin removal

- Higher: longer, more powerful stroke
  - Greater lateral motion
  - Moderate heavy calculus removal



# Another wrong

Higher power level will remove more deposit, resulting in a better clinical outcome

**Evidence:** 

Low-medium power level was just as effective in obtaining periodontal health

Chapple IL, Walmsley AD, Saxby MS, Moscrop H. Effect of instrument power setting during ultrasonic scaling upon treatment outcome. J Periodontol. 1995;66:756-60.

UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM



New Insert Active Length = 4.2mm Efficiency is 100%

Worn Insert 25% (Blue Line) Active Length = 3.1 mm Efficiency is 75%

Lea SC, Landini G, Walmsley AD. The effect of wear on ultrasonic scaler tip displacement amplitude J Clin Periodontol 2006; 33: 37-41





#### Lavage

Cools handpiece and insert / tip Adjustable flow rate allows user to select optimal flow



## Irrigation

Lavage – created by  $H_2O$  supply

Coolant Removes Biofilm Flushes debris from pocket Contributes to cavitation & acoustic microstreaming





## Mist with Droplets

- Increased power
- Decreased area of biofilm removal



# Lavage Options

Water Cetylpyrdinium Chloride Hydrogen Peroxide, 3% Povidone Iodine, 10% Essential Oils Chlorhexidine Gluconate 0.12% Saline Sodium Fluoride





# Lavage Options

# No evidence anything is better than water





#### Effect on Root Surface

Ritz et al 1991 Dragoo et al 1992 Jacobson et al 1994 Rees et al 1999 Busslinger et al 2001 Schmidlin et al 2001

Ultrasonic instrumentation may result in less damage to the root surface than hand instrumentation

A Clinical Evaluation of Hand and Ultrasonic Instruments on Subgingival Debridement. Part I. With Unmodified and Modified Ultrasonic Inserts



Abstract

tvo

USI

SCC

od

An in vitro investigation on the loss of root substance in scaling with various instruments

that the chances of removing all

Ritz L. Hefti AF and Rateitschak KH: An in vitro investigation on the loss of root substance in scaling with various instruments. J Clin Periodontol 1991; 18: 642-647.

Abstract. There are differing opinions as to the extent to which root cementum has to be removed during root surface instrumentation over and above that of the debridement of plaque and calculus. Similarly, the amount of tooth material removed by individual instruments is also unclear. but a trend towards less damaging methods of root surface debridement has evolved in recent years. The purpose of this in vitro study was to determine the amounts of root substance removed by 4 different methods of instrumentation, hand currette, ultrasonic scale, airscalLuca Ritz<sup>1</sup> Arthur F Matti<sup>2</sup> a. J Clin Periodontol 2001; 28: 642-649 Printed in Dennark, All rights reserved

A comparative in vitro study of a magnetostrictive and a piezoelectric ultrasonic scaling instrument

Busslinger A, Lampe K, Beuchat M, Lehmann B: A comparative in vitro study of a magnetostrictive and a piezoelectric ultrasonic scaling instrument. J Clin Periodontol 2001; 28: 642–649. @ Munksgaard, 2001. Copyright & Munksgaard 2001 Journal of Clinical Periodontology ISSN 0303-6979

André Busslinger, Kathrin Lampe, Michei Beuchat and Barbara Lehmann Clink for Preventive Dentistry, Periodontology and Cariology, Centre for Dental Medicine, University of Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland

## Cavitation

Bubbles collapse inward, releasing energy

Potential to disrupt bacterial cell walls

### Cavitation

Walmsley et al 1984, 1986 Laird & Walmsley 1991 Lea et al 2005 Parini et al 2005 Parini & Pitt 2005 Pitt 2005 Felver et al 2009

Potential even to damage scaler tip!



Ultrasonic scaler in water showing cavitation and streaming

UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

#### Effect on Microflora

Spirochetes and motile rods were reduced to 0.1% after exposure to ultrasonic vibrations

Baehni et al 1987, 1992





# Ultrasonic scaler removal – no water

# Ultrasonic scaler removal –water present

#### Streaming around ultrasonic scaler



#### UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

# Luminescence around probe





Fig. 5. Photographs of scaler tips in operation at power 10/10. (a) A tip. (b) P tip. (c)

IS tip.





Fig. 6. Luminol photography of A, P and PS tips at power 10/10. Light regions indicate amas of high cavitation activity, with dark regions indicating little or no activity.

#### UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

Acoustic Turbulence around Scaler operated in water

#### Non-Contacting

605



Acoustic Turbulence around Scaler operated in water

Contacting the tooth











# Endosonics - ultrasonic



#### View of File Movement







#### Endosonics





van der Sluis LW, Wu MK, Wesselink The efficacy of ultrasonic irrigation to remove artificially placed dentine debris from human root canals prepared using instruments of varying tape IRMINGHAM Int Endod J. 2005;38:764-8

## Inertial cavitation - Endosonic Files





# File motion assessed using laser vibrometry circa 2004

- Previous work indicated that file motion comprised a series of nodes and antinodes
- Measurements performed in air with flow of water



Lea et al. Phys Med Biol; 2004: 49: 2095-2102.



#### Endosonic file evaluation

- □ #10 and #30 files evaluated (27mm and 31mm)
- 31mm files inserted into file holder to depths of 3mm and 10mm (to colour-band). 27mm files inserted to colour-band
- MiniMaster (EMS) piezoelectric ultrasound system used (30kHz)
  Generator used on 'endo' setting, limiting power to setting 5
- Files inserted into water bath up to file holder (whole file immersed)
- Mirror enables simultaneous lateral / longitudinal data acquisition



### Results 1 - 27mm files



#### UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

#10 file – 27mm length – power setting 1
#### Results 3 - 27mm files



#### Power comparisons



#### #10 file, 27mm length – all power settingsERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM

#### Frequency spectrum at power 1



Fundamental frequency peak with width +/frequency resolution of scan

Other peaks are also pure with width +/- frequency resolution of scan

> UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

#### Frequency spectrum at power 5



Fundamental frequency peak with width +/- 3x frequency resolution of scan Other peaks are also broader than at power 1 and are also comprised of multiple peaks.



## New tips

Vibrometry of new tips ongoing Correlate oscillations with cavitation findings (to be presented by Joyce, Bath University)





#### Conclusions

Increasing power
 increase in file displacement



Vibration 'flattening' at higher powers
 Vibration spectra highlight problems
 Generator power increase may enhance efficacy of PUI

□ Variations in design impact on oscillation

Lea SC, Walmsley AD, Lumley PL. Analysing endosonic root canal file oscillations: an in vitro evaluation. Journal of Endodontics. 2010; 36: 880-883



## Peri-implantitis – the clinical view



#### UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

#### Which instrument to use for cleaning?

Stainless Steel Titanium Plastic Ultrasonic scaler





## Ultrasonic Scalers







#### Evidence for treatment?

Implant infection is a relatively new pathology

Little scientific evidence to suggest a standard treatment modality





#### Problem becoming greater

Increase in number of implants placed Implant problems increases with number of years in function

Management of implant pathology

 Major challenge for general practitioner and specialist in near future



# Treating Implant problems with Ultrasonics

professional maintenance importance: dental implants = natural teeth

ultrasonic scalers used to remove plaque and calculus from titanium implant surfaces

damage may be caused by metal probes



## Treating Peri-implantitis Ultrasonics

relationship between surface roughness and bacterial colonization - Quiryen et al (1993)

## environment allows tenacious adherence of a biofilm implant failure

damage minimised using plastic coated ultrasonic scaler probes ?

UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

#### Plastic covered ultrasonic scalers

investigate vibration patterns of 2 ultrasonic scaler probe designs

- traditional metal probe
- new plastic coated probe

#### under various load and power conditions

#### correlate findings with damage caused

Mann M, Parmer D, Walmsley AD, Lea SC. Effect of plastic covered ultrasonic scalers on titanium implant surfaces. 2011 Clinical Oral implants Research (In Press)



#### Methodology

- o TFI-10 ultrasonic scaler probe
- Plastic coated SofTip implant insert
- SPS 30kHz ultrasound generator



#### UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

### Methodology

- o loads 100g and 200g (high and low power)
- o 10 seconds
- o water flow rate 30 ml/min
- o repeated 5 times for each condition
- laser vibrometer with mirror
  3D vibration + displacement amplitude
- laser profilometer scanned surfaces
- data evaluated using SPSS (significance level of p = 0.05)



#### Results

Scaler inserts oscillated with an elliptical vibration pattern





plastic scaler insert and probe

metal scaler probe

## Implant insert



### Implant insert



#### Defect depth

#### laser profilometer measured defect depth & width







#### Implant insert

- Debris visible may be removal of superficial layer of titanium surface + plastic coat
- o At high power, plastic coat melts at tip
- May create further damage to implant surface



#### Summary

- load/power settings important factors in damage caused to implant surfaces by scaler probes
- o provision of plastic coated minimal damage
- o operating at low power ensures efficiency as no visible damage to tip

Mann M, Parmar D, Walmsley AD, Lea SC. UNIVERSITY OF Effect of plastic-covered ultrasonic scalers on titanium implant surfaces MINGHAM Clin Oral Implants Res. 2011. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0501.2011.02186.x.

# No Gold Standard

# Research Needed!



#### Background

Ultrasonic drill used to cut teeth in 1950s Overtaken by high speed rotary drills Main use is plaque and calculus removal Use in endodontics for debridement Now back to cutting bone

## PIEZOSURGERY



## Aim

#### Investigate oscillation behaviour of Piezosurgery Bone Tips

#### **Under different operating conditions**

Correlate vibration patterns with bone defects

### Method

Piezosurgery Ultrasound Unit (Mectron, Italy)

- 3 Cutting Modes
  - Cortical
  - Spongious
- Special

**OT7** Cutting Tip



#### Method

1D Scanning Laser Vibrometer Unit (SLV)

Anterior surface of probe – Longitudinal Side surface of probe – Lateral First Surface Reflecting Mirror





#### Phase 1

Unloaded – Cortical – Spongious 10 Scans ± Water Flow – 30ml/minute SLV measured oscillation

> UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM



#### Phase 2

**Bovine Bone** – Cortical Surfaces x5 – Spongious Surfaces x5 Loads - 50g, 100g, 200g Time - 10 seconds Water Flow - 30ml/minute **UNIVERSITY**OF BIRMINGHAM
Scanning of bone defects TaiCaan Xyris 4000 WL/CL 3D metrology system Defect depths measured

Statistical analysis

- Univariate Analysis of Variance
- Significance level p=0.05



#### Maximum oscillation at tip of probe

| Longitudinal<br>Vibrations | - Water              | Cortical  | 14.6µm | No Significant Difference                |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------|--|
|                            |                      | Spongious | 12.0µm | (p=0.00 i)                               |
|                            | + Water              | Cortical  | 11.7µm | No Significant Difference                |
|                            |                      | Spongious | 11.3µm | (p=0.942)                                |
|                            |                      |           |        |  |
| Lateral<br>Vibrations      | ± Water              |           |        | No Significant Difference<br>(p ≥ 0.918) |
|                            | Cortical & Spongious |           |        |  |

But ..... system designed to contact bone BIRMINGHAM

Cortical

Average max displacement amplitudes

Longitudinal

- 50g
- 100g
- 200g
- 11.4µm
  - 10.0µm /
    - . 2.9µm
- No sig diff (p=0.266)
  - n Sig.Diff p<0.0001

Lateral

- 50g

- 1.5µm
- 100g 0.7
- 200g

- 0.7µm
- 0.6µm



#### **Spongious Setting**

- Average Max Displacement Amplitudes
  - Longitudinal
    - 50g 2.81µm

    - 100g 3.04µm
    - 200g 3.53µm
  - Lateral
    - 50g 1.91µm
    - 100g 1.48µm

    - 200g 1.67µm
- No SD between 50g, 100g and 200g (p≥0.474)

**UNIVERSITY** OF BIRMINGHAM



- Max oscillation magnitude, along length of probe, both cortical (a) and spongious (b) bone cutting settings (all loads)
- Position of node remains constant for 2 settings, mode shapes quite different

#### UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

#### Probe Motion 3D

As load increased, tip retains elliptical oscillation pattern



scanning laser profilometry average defect depths

- 50g 0.12mm
- 100g 0.36mm
- 200g 0.33mm





# Conclusion

More work needed

Study focussed on OT7 tip

- Light pressure to maximise bone cutting
- -200g load may lead to strain
- Vibration is elliptical

Bone structure influences cutting process

Parmar D, Mann M, Walmsley AD, Lea SC.UNIVERSITY OFCutting characteristics of ultrasonic surgical instruments.BIRMINGHAMClin Oral Implants Res. 2011 Mar 8. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0501.2010.02121.x.BIRMINGHAM

#### Conclusion

Surface alters mode shape and oscillation magnitude

Max depth at 100g contact

Increasing load reduces oscillation amplitude and depth of cut

**Clinical Relevance** 

- Pressure affects cutting efficiency

Parmar D, Mann M, Walmsley AD, Lea SC. Cutting characteristics of ultrasonic surgical instruments. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2011 Mar 8. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0501.2010.02121.x. UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM

### Further Work



Claire S, Lea SC, Walmsley AD. Characterisation of bone following ultrasonic cutting. Royal Society Interface (Submitted for publication)

#### UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

### Further Work



# In Summary

Ultrasonics for dental use

- Mainly relies on probe tooth contact
- Contribution on cavitation and streaming
- Further research on using such phenomenon to break up bacterial biofilms



